From: Russell Schreiber
Sent: Sat, 30 Jun 2012 16:23:29 -0500
To: CrawfordSL@cdmsmith.com
Cc: daniel.nix@wichitafallstx.gov; tdh@cpbwf.com
Subject: Re: City of Wichita Falls - TCEQ Response to Reuse Project

4 it is

----- Original Message -----
From: Crawford, Susan [mailto:CrawfordSL@cdmsmith.com]
Sent: Saturday, June 30, 2012 06:52 AM
To: Russell Schreiber <russell.schreiber@wichitafallstx.gov>
Cc: Daniel Nix <daniel.nix@wichitafallstx.gov>; Dean Hinton <tdh@cpbwf.com>
Subject: Re: City of Wichita Falls - TCEQ Response to Reuse Project

I have a meeting from 2:30 to 3:30. Can we do it at 3:30 or 4?

Susan L Crawford, PE, BCEE
CDM Smith

On Jun 29, 2012, at 4:46 PM, "Russell Schreiber"
<russell.schreiber@wichitafallstx.gov<mailto:russell.schreiber@wichitafallstx.gov>>
wrote:

Want to set up for Monday at 3:00 pm?

On Fri, Jun 29, 2012 at 4:41 PM, Crawford, Susan
<CrawfordSL@cdmsmith.com<mailto:CrawfordSL@cdmsmith.com>> wrote:
I've passed on this TCEQ info to our permitting guy to confirm, but as I read this, going to Lake Arrowhead wouldn't require all the additional log removals since it is IPR. I would like to talk to my guy first before our call, so let me see if the two of us can connect Monday morning and then we could talk Monday afternoon. (he's been out all day today)

I will update you Monday.

Thanks,
Susan

From: Russell Schreiber
[mailto:russell.schreiber@wichitafallstx.gov<mailto:russell.schreiber@wichitafallstx.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 29, 2012 2:56 PM
To: Daniel Nix; Crawford, Susan; Dean Hinton
Subject: Re: City of Wichita Falls - TCEQ Response to Reuse Project

Well doesn't look like Red has moved any from his closed minded view and trying to cram every scenario into the same sized box. Based on "Draft guidelines" that have not been adopted by the TCEQ and placed in the Texas Admin code as enforceable rules and regs only because that is what he was able to force onto 2 other municipalities. He appears to be more concerned with how to kill a project rather than make one work, of course this has

always been his MO.

Susan where are we on the regs for discharge into Lake Arrowhead? As I read the Draft guidelines appears to me any water body that receives wastewater effluent and the water is then also used as a drinking water source, would be considered IDP, based on the definition provided. As such then every treatment plant that uses this water must meet Red's preposterous log removals. I'm pretty sure all the WTPs down stream of Dallas that use the Trinity as a drinking water source are not required to have the multiple barriers and the to meet the removal rates.

I need to know that if we apply for a discharge permit into Lake Arrowhead, that it would not be considered IDP and that we would not now be subject to these ludicrous log removal rates with multiple treatment barriers.

Why can't this be as simple as demonstrating that the effluent is cleaner than the lake water, based on analysis as a source water for potable purposes, and will not be detrimental if blended with lake water and is a very good source of water. The source is the only reason all the additional requirements are being forced on us. Is there a way for us to get Red past this fact.

When is everyone available for another conf call to discuss our next move?

On Fri, Jun 29, 2012 at 10:03 AM, Daniel Nix <daniel.nix@wichitafallstx.gov<mailto:daniel.nix@wichitafallstx.gov>> wrote:
Russell,
I found why you didn't receive this. Joel misspelled your last name on the e-mail chain.
Enjoy.
---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Joel Klumpp <joel.klumpp@tceq.texas.gov<mailto:joel.klumpp@tceq.texas.gov>>
Date: Thu, Jun 28, 2012 at 5:01 PM
Subject: City of Wichita Falls - TCEQ Response to Reuse Project
To: "Crawford, Susan" <CrawfordSL@cdmsmith.com<mailto:CrawfordSL@cdmsmith.com>>
Cc: James Weddell <james.weddell@tceq.texas.gov<mailto:james.weddell@tceq.texas.gov>>, Ada Lichaa <ada.lichaa@tceq.texas.gov<mailto:ada.lichaa@tceq.texas.gov>>, Vera Poe <vera.poe@tceq.texas.gov<mailto:vera.poe@tceq.texas.gov>>, Cari-Michel Lacaille <cari-michel.lacaille@tceq.texas.gov<mailto:cari-michel.lacaille@tceq.texas.gov>>, "tdh@cpbwf.com<mailto:tdh@cpbwf.com>" <tdh@cpbwf.com<mailto:tdh@cpbwf.com>>, "daniel.nix@wichitafallstx.gov<mailto:daniel.nix@wichitafallstx.gov>" <daniel.nix@wichitafallstx.gov<mailto:daniel.nix@wichitafallstx.gov>>, "russell.scheiber@wichitafallstx.gov<mailto:russell.scheiber@wichitafallstx.gov>" <russell.scheiber@wichitafallstx.gov<mailto:russell.scheiber@wichitafallstx.gov>>

Ms. Crawford,

Please see the attached letter and enclosures with TCEQ's response to the City of Wichita Falls' proposed reuse project.

I will be out of the office for the next two business days. Per the contact information in the letter, questions and/or comments can be directed to Mr.

Red Weddell at 325-481-8056<tel:325-481-8056> or
James.Weddell@tceq.texas.gov<mailto:James.Weddell@tceq.texas.gov>.

Thank you,

Joel Klumpp

Joel Klumpp | Team Leader | Technical Review and Oversight Team | TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle, Bldg. F | Austin, Texas 78753 | •• (512)
239-4453<tel:%28512%29%20239-4453> Fax: (512)
239-6050<tel:%28512%29%20239-6050> | •:
Joel.Klumpp@tceq.texas.gov<mailto:Joel.Klumpp@tceq.texas.gov>

--
Daniel K. Nix
Public Utilities Operations Manager
City of Wichita Falls
Physical Address: 4801 Big Ed Neal Drive, Wichita Falls, Texas 76310
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1431, Wichita Falls, Texas 76307
940-691-1153<tel:940-691-1153> FAX: 940-691-4121<tel:940-691-4121>
Daniel.Nix@wichitafallstx.gov<mailto:Daniel.Nix@wichitafallstx.gov>
[http://1.bp.blogspot.com/-_C9N5qx0HKA/Tf-D-t3xj_I/AAAAAAAAH6E/IFL8aQx5ZHU/s1600/Wichita+Falls+Logo.jpg]

--
Russell Schreiber P.E
Director of Public Works
City of Wichita Falls Texas
940 761 7477<tel:940%20761%207477>

--
Russell Schreiber P.E
Director of Public Works
City of Wichita Falls Texas
940 761 7477